



\$~O-1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ TEST.CAS. 71/2021

NEENA BARNWAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Shobha Gupta with Ms. Akshita Mishra, Advocates.

versus

STATE & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Dr. Ishaan Swarana Sharma with Mr. Shubham Shukla, Advocates for the respondent no.2/applicant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

20.03.2026

I.A. 2175/2026 (For recalling of order dated 13.11.2025)

1. For the reasons assigned in paragraph nos.2 and 3 of the application it appears that the Court did not consider the earlier order and has allowed the application *vide* order dated 13.11.2025. According to the applicant, the right to file the reply stood forfeited and therefore there was no reason to condone the delay in filing the rejoinder. It is also stated that it is not the rejoinder. The Court finds that I.A. 16808/2025 will have to be reheard depending upon the submissions to be made by both the parties.

2. Accordingly, order dated 13.11.2025 stands recalled to the extent of restoring I.A. 16808/2025.



3. Accordingly, I.A. 16808/2025 be listed before the roster Bench on 20.04.2026, subject to approval by the Judge In-charge.

MARCH 20, 2026

tr

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J