



\$~57

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3147/2025**

**RAVI BHUSHAN PRASAD & ANR. ....Petitioners**

Through: Mr. Anwesh Madhukar and Mr. Ishat  
Singh Bhati, Advocates.

versus

**STATE (GOVT. OF NCT) & ANR. ....Respondents**

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP for State.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

% **11.11.2025**

**CRL.M.A. 33267/2025**

1. The present application has been filed on behalf of the petitioner seeking modification/correction of order dated 31.07.2025.
2. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the order dated 31.07.2025 inadvertently records that notice was accepted by the learned counsel for respondent no.2, whereas it was accepted by the learned APP on behalf of the State.
3. He submits that since there is no specific notice issued to respondent no.2, the Registry is not accepting the process fee.
4. In view of the above, issue notice to respondent no.2. The Registry is directed to accept the process fee filed by the petitioners vide diary no. 5303798/2025 and notice be issued to respondent no.2, by all permissible modes, returnable on the date fixed.
5. Application stands disposed of.

**VIKAS MAHAJAN, J**

**NOVEMBER 11, 2025/jg**