



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ EL.PET. 1/2019 & I.A. 10088/2019 & I.A. No. 9546/2019

SATISH KUMAR SANSL.

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. S.B. Sharma, Advocate for Mr.
Ramesh Kumar, Advocate.

versus

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA & ANR Respondents

Through: Mr. Sidhant Kumar, Advocate for
R-1.
Mr. R.P. Chopra, Advocate for R-2.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

% **ORDER**
17.12.2020

1. This matter has been heard by way of video conferencing.

I.A. 10088/2019 (Under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC, 1908 for striking out of name of R-2) &
I.A. 9546/2019 (Under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC, 1908 for striking out of name of R-1).

2. Learned counsel for the Applicants state that they do not wish to file rejoinder to the reply filed by the non-Applicant. Mr. S.B. Sharma learned counsel for the non-Applicant/Petitioner submits that he does not have the brief with him, and he is therefore unable to assist this Court or make submissions on the afore-noted applications.



EL.PET. 1/2019

3. As per the service report, Respondent Nos. 9 and 14 are still unserved and the process fee is also not been filed. Respondent Nos. 9 and 14 be served on filing of process fee through all permissible modes including e-mail, returnable on the next date of hearing.

4. Mr. Sidhant Kumar, learned counsel for the Election Commission of India points out that in terms of Section 86(6) and (7) of the Representation of the People Act, 1951, the endeavour of the Court should be to proceed with the matter expeditiously. Accordingly, the Petitioner is directed to ensure that immediate steps are taken to complete the service of the unserved Respondents, so that the matter can proceed further, without any further delay.

5. List on 29th January, 2021.

DECEMBER 17, 2020
nd

SANJEEV NARULA, J