



\$~5 (2022 Cause List)

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CM(M) 916/2019

AJAY KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Deepak Khosla, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Jyoti Tyagi, Advocate for Mr.
Yeeshu Jain, Standing Counsel for
UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

% **25.02.2022**

The proceedings in the matter have been conducted through video conferencing.

1. Although this petition has been pending since the year 2019, it has been adjourned from time to time and notice has not yet been issued.
2. The contention of Mr. Deepak Khosla, learned counsel for the petitioner, is that the predecessor in the interest of the petitioner, i.e. Mr. Abdul Rashid, had sought reference in respect of the same acquisition, but by way of the impugned order, it has been held that there was no reference at all.
3. Ms. Jyoti Tyagi, learned counsel for the respondent-Union of India, seeks time to examine the matter.
4. List on 27.04.2022.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

FEBRUARY 25, 2022/ 'pv'