



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CM(M) 916/2019 & CM APPL. 37282/2023**

AJAY KUMARPetitioner

Through: Mr. Deepak Khosla, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIARespondent

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pathak, Standing
Counsel for UOI with Mrs. K K Kiran
Pathak, Mr. Divakar Kapil, Mr. Sunil
Kumar Jha and Mr. M.S.Akhtar, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

% **20.08.2025**

1. The sole grievance of petitioner is with respect to the fact that when reference under Section 18 of Land Acquisition Act, 1894, in respect to the request received from Mr. Abdul Rashid and Ms. Bholi, was forwarded to the Court by the concerned Land Acquisition Collector on 03.02.2011, learned Trial Court should not have dismissed the prayer of the petitioner whereby he was seeking substitution of his name in place of Mr. Abdul Rashid.
2. The impugned order would indicate that the application has been dismissed for the reason that no Reference was ever forwarded for adjudication and, therefore, the application for substitution made by Mr. Ajay Kumar, as LR of Late Mr. Abdul Rashid was of no consequence and, therefore, his application under Order XXII Rule 10 CPC was dismissed.
3. Let respondent, after cross checking the record of concerned LAC, apprise the exact status with respect to the communication dated 03.02.2011, which is enclosed with the present petition as Annexure-A.
4. Let a status report on affidavit be filed within four weeks from today with advance copy to the other side.

CM(M) 916/2019

1



5. List on 01.12.2025.

MANOJ JAIN, J

AUGUST 20, 2025/sw/JS