



\$~A-79

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 6358/2019

APEEJAY SCHOOL Petitioner
Through Mr.H.L. Tiku, Sr. Adv. with
Mr.Yashmeet, Adv.

versus

DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION Respondent
Through Mr. Santosh Kumar Tripathi, ASC,
GNCTE for DOE.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT NATH

ORDER
16.09.2020

%

This hearing is conducted through Video-Conferencing.

CM 16613/2020

Reply has not been filed by the respondent.

Let a reply be filed within four weeks. While filing the reply, the respondent may also respond to the submission of the learned senior counsel for the petitioner that there are accounting errors on page 8 of the order dated 25.03.2019. A specific reference is made to Note 1 of the said order which reads as follows:-

“Note 1: School has provided for gratuity and leave encashment on the basis of management estimates instead of Actuarial valuation basis in accordance with AS-15 in budget for FY 2017-18. Therefore, the same has not been considered in evaluation of fee increase proposal.”



2026:DHC:4590

Needful be done within four weeks.

List on 25.11.2020.

SEPTEMBER 16, 2020
rb

JAYANT NATH, J