



\$~2 to 15

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ W.P.(C) 1823/2021  
AJAY KUMAR SHARMA ..... Petitioner  
Through: Mr. Mohinder J.S. Ruapl and Mr.  
Hardik Rupal, Advocates  
versus  
THE COMMISSIONER SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL  
CORPORATION & ANR. .... Respondents  
Through: Ms. Sriparna Chatterjee, Adv  
(through VC)  
Mr. Sanjeev Sagar, St. Counsel with  
Ms. Nazia Parveen, Mr. Saurav  
Yadav, Mr. Sanjeev Ranjan, Mr.  
Abhishek, Mr. Fatesh Kumar Sahu  
and Ms. Bhawna, Advocates for  
MCD

**3**  
+ W.P.(C) 6590/2021, CM APPL. 20657/2021  
S.K. CHAUHAN ..... Petitioner  
Through: Mr. Sanjiv K. Jha and Mr. Sachin  
Bhatt, Advocates  
versus  
NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION..... Respondent  
Through: Ms. Sriparna Chatterjee, Adv  
(through VC)

**4**  
+ W.P.(C) 6723/2021  
CHANDRA PRAKASH SHARMA ..... Petitioner  
Through:  
versus  
COMMISSIONER NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION  
..... Respondent  
Through: Ms. Sriparna Chatterjee, Adv  
(through VC) Mr. Sanjeev Sagar, St.  
Counsel with Ms. Nazia Parveen, Mr.  
Saurav Yadav, Mr. Sanjeev Ranjan,  
Mr. Abhishek, Mr. Fatesh Kumar



Sahu and Ms. Bhawna, Advocates for  
MCD

5

+ W.P.(C) 8211/2021, CM APPL. 2847/2023

PRAMOD VASHISHT ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shivanshu Bhardwaj and Mr.  
Mridul Gaur, Advocates

versus

NORTH DELHIMUNICIPAL CORPORATION ..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Sriparna Chatterjee, Adv  
(through VC)

6

+ W.P.(C) 8229/2021, CM APPL. 2846/2023

SURAJ PRAKASH SAGTA ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shivanshu Bhardwaj and Mr.  
Mridul Gaur, Advocates

versus

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Sriparna Chatterjee, Adv  
(through VC)

7

+ W.P.(C) 8399/2021

J D ATKAAN ..... Petitioner

Through:

versus

COMMISSIONER NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Sriparna Chatterjee, Adv  
(through VC)

8

+ W.P.(C) 8402/2021

A K DIXIT ..... Petitioner

Through:

versus

COMMISSIONER NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Sriparna Chatterjee, Adv  
(through VC)



**9**

+

W.P.(C) 8403/2021

VIMAL BHANDARI

..... Petitioner

Through:

versus

COMMISSIONER NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Sriparna Chatterjee, Adv  
(through VC)

**10**

+

W.P.(C) 9057/2021

ANIL AGGARWAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjiv K. Jha and Mr. Sachin  
Bhatt, Advocates

versus

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Sriparna Chatterjee, Adv  
(through VC)

**11**

+

W.P.(C) 12099/2021, CM APPL. 23837/2024

SUSHIL KUMAR JAIN

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. M.K.Bhardwaj with Ms. Priyanka  
M. Bhardwaj, Mr. Arun Prakash, Ms.  
Maria Mughesh Kannan, Advs.

versus

SOUTH DMC AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through:

**12**

+

W.P.(C) 12792/2021, CM APPL. 40285/2021

ROHTAS KUMAR SAROHA @RK SAROHA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjiv K. Jha and Mr. Sachin  
Bhatt, Advocates

versus

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Sriparna Chatterjee, Adv  
(through VC)



**13**

+

W.P.(C) 4858/2022  
SHIV RAM MEENA

..... Petitioner

Through:  
versus

THE COMMISSIONER NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL  
CORPORATION ..... Respondent

Through: Ms.Namrata Mukim, Standing  
Counsel MCD

**14**

+

W.P.(C) 10522/2022  
SUNIL MOHAN GUPTA

..... Petitioner

Through:  
versus

THE COMMISSIONER , MCD

..... Respondent

Through:

**15**

+

W.P.(C) 5002/2022  
ANKUR TYAGI

..... Petitioner

Through:  
versus

THE COMMISSIONER, NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL  
CORPORATION ..... Respondent

Through:

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE**

**ORDER**

%

**09.05.2024**

1. Learned arguing counsel for the respondents is stated to be held up



before the learned Central Administrative Tribunal and therefore, a pass over is sought. However taking in view the Board position a pass over is not feasible at this stage. We, therefore, have no other option but to defer hearing.

2. Since we are deferring the hearing on account of Ms. Chatterjee being held up before the learned Tribunal from where she has tried to join the Court proceeding virtually but, is not clearly audible, we are granting both sides time to file written submissions, if not already filed, within a period of four weeks.

3. Taking into account the order passed by the Apex Court, we make it clear that though we are granting liberty to the parties to file written submissions, the matter will not be adjourned on the next date of hearing for want of written submissions.

4. List on 07.08.2024.

**REKHA PALLI, J**

**SAURABH BANERJEE, J**

**MAY 9, 2024/So**