



\$~61 to 67

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 382/2020

ASHOK SINGH BHADAURIA

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Rajiv Mohan, Mr. Nishant Madan, Mr. Chandveer Shyoran, Ms. Nitika Pancholi and Mr. Abhishek Sharma, Advocates

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent

Through: Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, SPP for CBI with Ms. Ananya Shamsheery and Mr. Sparsh Sharma, Advocates. Mr. Mehmood Pracha, Mr. Sanawar Choudhary and Mr. Syed Adeel Hasan, Advocates for Victim

62

+ CRL.A. 451/2020

JAIDEEP SINGH SENGHER@ATUL SINGH

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Pramod Kr. Dubey, Senior Advocate with Mr. SPM Tripathi, Mr. Satyam Sharma, Mr. Ramachandrani B Siddhartha, Mr. Yash Saxena and Mr. Gaurav Meni Tripathi, Advocates.

versus

CBI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, SPP for CBI with Ms. Ananya Shamsheery and Mr. Sparsh Sharma, Advocates. Mr. Mehmood Pracha, Mr. Sanawar Choudhary and Mr. Syed Adeel Hasan, Advocates for Victim

63

+ CRL.A. 539/2020

KULDEEP SINGH SENGHER

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Kanhaiya Singhal, Mr. Prasanna, Mr. Ajay Kumar, Ms.



Avantika Shankar, Advocates

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent

Through: Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, SPP for
CBI with Ms. Ananya Shamshery
and Mr. Sparsh Sharma, Advocates.
Mr. Mehmood Pracha, Mr. Sanawar
Choudhary and Mr. Syed Adeel
Hasan, Advocates for Victim

64

+

CRL.A. 580/2020

KAMTA PRASAD SINGH

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Akhand Pratap Singh, Ms.
Samridhi Dobhal, Mr. Utkarsh
Singh, Ms. Krishna Mohan
Chandel, Advocates (through VC)

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent

Through: Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, SPP for
CBI with Ms. Ananya Shamshery
and Mr. Sparsh Sharma, Advocates.
Mr. Mehmood Pracha, Mr. Sanawar
Choudhary and Mr. Syed Adeel
Hasan, Advocates for Victim

65

+

CRL.A. 22/2021

BIRENDRA @ BAUWA SINGH

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Hamant Shah, Mr. Akshay
Rana, Mr. Saurabh Pal, Mr. Vishal
Mann, Mr. Ojas Kaushik and Mr.
Saurabh Rajput, Advocates

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent

Through: Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, SPP for
CBI with Ms. Ananya Shamshery
and Mr. Sparsh Sharma, Advocates.
Mr. Mehmood Pracha, Mr. Sanawar
Choudhary and Mr. Syed Adeel



Hasan, Advocates for Victim

66

+

CRL.A. 249/2021

SHASHI PRATAP SINGH

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Rajiv Mohan, Mr. Nishant Madan, Mr. Chandveer Shyoran, Ms. Nitika Pancholi and Mr. Abhishek Sharma, Advocates

versus

CBI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, SPP for CBI with Ms. Ananya Shamshery and Mr. Sparsh Sharma, Advocates. Mr. Mehmood Pracha, Mr. Sanawar Choudhary and Mr. Syed Adeel Hasan, Advocates for Victim

67

+

CRL.A. 25/2021

VINEET MISHRA @ VINAY MISHRA

.....Appellant

Through: None

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, SPP for CBI with Ms. Ananya Shamshery and Mr. Sparsh Sharma, Advocates. Mr. Mehmood Pracha, Mr. Sanawar Choudhary and Mr. Syed Adeel Hasan, Advocates for Victim

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

24.07.2026

CRL.M.(BAIL) 317/2025, CRL.M.(BAIL) 284/2026 in CRL.A. 451/2020

1. In view of the pendency of the application being CRL.M.(BAIL) 316/2025, wherein the appellant is seeking suspension of sentence, learned



senior counsel for the appellant seeks leave to withdraw the present applications, however, the same is opposed by the learned counsel for the victim as according to him there is an element of fraud involved in the medical report(s) submitted along with them. The same is denied by the learned senior counsel for the appellant.

2. In any event, since the issue of fraud, if any, in the accompanying medical report(s) may be taken up on its own merit, if as and when the occasion arises, and there is nothing qua them before this Court today, the present applications are dismissed as withdrawn.

CRL.A. 382/2020,
CRL.A. 451/2020, CRL.M.A. 4330/2026, CRL.M.(BAIL) 316/2025,
CRL.A. 539/2020, CRL.M.A. 29428/2025,
CRL.A. 580/2020,
CRL.A. 22/2021, CRL.M.(BAIL) 53/2021,
CRL.A. 249/2021, CRL.M.(BAIL) 1098/2021 &
CRL.A. 25/2021, CRL.M.(BAIL) 57/2021

3. Keeping in view the nature of the facts and disputes involved, learned (senior) counsel(s) for the parties seek, and are granted, time for filing convenience compilation along with written synopsis instead of reply/ rejoinder not exceeding *five pages*, giving a chronological list of dates and events and relevant documents, if any, alongwith duly highlighted judgments setting out the propositions of law therein, they seek to rely upon at least *one week* prior to the next date of hearing.

4. As such, renotify on 26.09.2026.

5. A copy of this order be kept in all the connected matters.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

JULY 24, 2026/So