



\$~12

\*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CS(OS) 408/2023

VIPIN VERMA

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Ray Vikram Nath & Mr.  
Akshat Chaudhary, Advs.  
(Mob.9818121216)

Versus

SMT. ASHA VERMA AND ORS

..... Defendants

Through: None.

**CORAM:**

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PRIYA  
MAHENDRA, (DHJS)**

**ORDER**

% 15.04.2024

**IA No.8292/2024 under Section 5 of Limitation Act r/w  
Section 151 CPC moved by the plaintiff seeking  
condonation of delay in filing replications to the  
respective replies of defendant no.1 to 3 & defendant  
no.4&5 to IA No.12195/2023**

**IA No.8293/2024 under Section 5 of Limitation Act  
moved by the plaintiff seeking condonation of delay in  
filing replications to the respective replies of defendant  
no.1 to 3 & defendant no.4&5 to IA No.12194/2023**

It is stated by learned counsel for the plaintiff that inadvertently the term 'replication' has been used in place of 'rejoinder' in the captioned IAs and it may be read as rejoinder. Ordered accordingly.

Heard. In view of reasons mentioned in the applications, both the captioned IAs stand allowed to the extent that the delay, if any, in filing rejoinders by the plaintiff to the respective replies of defendant no.1 to 3 and defendant no.4&5 to IA No.12194/2023 & IA



No.12195/2023 is confirmed and the same are taken on record. Accordingly, both the captioned IAs stand disposed of.

**PRIYA MAHENDRA (DHJS)**  
**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**APRIL 15, 2024/ab**

*Click here to check corrigendum, if any*