



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CS(COMM) 456/2022, I.A. 10259/2022, I.A. 47614/2024, I.A. 48862/2024, I.A. 3083/2025 & I.A. 3896/2026

BRAJ MOHAN RATHOREPlaintiff
Through: Mr. Jai Sahai Endlaw, Advocate.

versus

MAHESH EDIBLE OIL INDUSTRIES LTD. & ORS.Defendants
Through: Mr. Rohit Sharma, Mr. Nikhil Purohit,
Mr. Jatin Lalwani, Advocates for D-1 to
9 and 15, 16.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

ORDER
11.02.2026

%

1. Mr. Jai Sahai Endlaw, learned counsel appearing for the plaintiff in present case, submits on instructions that the plaintiff is not agreeable to any change in the trade dress or packaging, if at all carried out by the defendant, since, he insists that the objection is to the name itself.
2. Thus, finding no headway in the present Suit, it is deemed appropriate to direct the parties to file a three-page note of brief synopsis with cross-references to the page nos. of the documents that they seek to rely on. The same be filed within three weeks from date.
3. List on for consideration on 13.04.2026.

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

FEBRUARY 11, 2026

Sumit