



\$~95

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 5660/2025 and CM APPL.25797/2025

PARVEEN TRAVELS PRIVATE LIMITEDPetitioner

Through: Mr. Vishal Khattar and Ms. Aneesha,
Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondents

Through: Ms. Anjana Gosain, Mr. Keshav
Raheja, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

%

20.05.2025

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner assailing an order dated 20.02.2025 whereby the E-Sahaj Application No. E20240282326 dated 30.04.2024 filed by the petitioner seeking security clearance to operate as an auxiliary service provider at Chennai Airport, was rejected by the Bureau of Civil Aviation Security (respondent no.2).
2. Further, the petitioner also assails the order dated 17.04.2025, passed by the Ministry of Civil Aviation (respondent no.1), whereby the appeal filed by the petitioner under Rule 64 of the Aircraft (Security) Rules, 2023 against the aforesaid order dated 20.02.2025 has been dismissed.
3. A perusal of the order dated 17.04.2025 passed by the Appellate Authority reveals that the denial of security clearance was based on an 'adverse report' received from the Central Security Agency (CSA).
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the decision to discontinue the security clearance of the petitioner was dictated by purely



colourable and arbitrary considerations. He submits that the same will become evident from a perusal of the relevant record.

5. Considering the circumstances, at the request of the petitioner, the Ministry of Home Affairs is impleaded as the respondent no.4 in the present proceedings. Let an amended memo of parties be filed by the petitioner before the next date of hearing.

6. Let a copy of the relevant inputs, on the basis of which the security clearance has been denied to the petitioner, be produced for perusal of the Court.

7. List on 29.05.2025.

SACHIN DATTA, J

MAY 20, 2025/cl