



\$~81

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 5626/2025 & CM APPL. 25644/2025**

DR. S JAITLEY

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner no. 2 in person

versus

MCD

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Kapil Dutta and Mr. Vansh
Luthra, Advocates for MCD

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

ORDER

% **30.04.2025**

CM APPL. 25645/2025

1. Exemption allowed subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 5626/2025 & CM APPL. 25644/2025

3. Issue notice to the respondent, returnable on 13.08.2025.
4. On behalf of respondent, Mr. Kapil Dutta has put in appearance and accepts notice.
5. Let a response to the averments made in the writ petition be filed by respondent no.1 within four weeks. Two weeks thereafter shall be available to the petitioner to file the rejoinder affidavit.
6. Mr. Ayush, learned counsel has stated that he has been instructed by Ms. Mannat Ahuja to bring to the notice of the Court that she is also a necessary party to the writ petition considering the subject matter raised



therein. Ms. Ahuja is thus permitted to make an application seeking her impleadment as a party respondent. The said application may be made within four weeks.

7. Our attention has been drawn to the synopsis at page 4 to 8 of the writ petition which contains certain allegations against certain individuals though the said individuals have not been impleaded as party respondents. The petitioner no.2, who appears in person, however, states that she will withdraw the synopsis and file a fresh one. Accordingly, the petitioners shall file an affidavit seeking withdrawal of the synopsis and substitute the same with a fresh synopsis. The said affidavit shall also be filed within four weeks.

DEVENDRA KUMAR UPADHYAYA, CJ

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

APRIL 30, 2025

ms