



\$~15

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CRL.REV.P.(MAT.) 193/2025 & CRL.M.A.
13129/2025, CRL.M.A. 13130/2025, CRL.M.A.
13132/2025, CRL.M.A. 13133/2025

RAKESH SINGHPetitioner
Through: Ms. Divya Sharma, Ms.
Tannu and Mr. Tarun
Sharma, Advs.

versus

NEELAM KUMARI & ANR.Respondents
Through:

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

% **26.09.2025**

1. By the present petition, the petitioner challenges the order dated 17.02.2023 (hereafter '**impugned order**'), passed by the learned Family Court in MT No. 195/22, directing the petitioner to pay a total sum of ₹40,000/- to the respondents (₹25,000/- to Respondent No. 1 and ₹15,000/- to Respondent No. 2) as maintenance.
2. The petitioner was proceeded *ex parte* before the Family Court.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the notice was issued during the COVID-19 period and was never served on the petitioner.
4. She submits that in the order dated 07.01.2021, the petitioner was erroneously shown to be present which led to him being proceeded *ex parte* subsequently on account of non-



appearance.

5. She submits that the petitioner came to know about the proceedings only when a Police official visited his hometown after the order passed in the execution proceedings.

6. She submits that the petitioner belongs to humble strata of society and is also taking care of one child.

7. She further submits that both the children, that is, one staying with the petitioner and the one staying with Respondent No. 1, are major and are, in any case, not entitled for any maintenance.

8. The petitioner also relies upon the certificate issued by NLR India Foundation certifying that the petitioner is working on daily wages for ₹700/-.

9. Issue notice.

10. On the petitioner continuing to pay a sum of ₹10,000/- to the respondents, the execution of the impugned order is stayed till the next date of hearing.

11. List on 15.12.2025.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

SEPTEMBER 26, 2025

'KDK'