



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 440/2023**
SANDISK LLC Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Srinivas Venkat (VC),
Advocate

versus

VIJAY Defendant

Through: Mr. Ankur Sinha, Advocate

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY
GULATI (DHJS)

% **ORDER**
18.03.2024

Previous cost stands paid by the defendant.

Written statement, however, was put under objections and it has been marked as defective on 02.01.2024. Let the Id. Counsel check up with the Registry and take steps within two weeks to bring the same on record.

Replication filed by the plaintiff has also been returned by the Registry, being defective. Plaintiff is directed to take appropriate steps in this regard. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff is directed to supply a copy of the replication to the Id. Counsel for the defendant before re-filing.

Put up for completion of pleadings on 17.05.2024.

Dr. AJAY GULATI (DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

MARCH 18, 2024/sk

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)