



\$~S-1

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **CONT.CAS.(CRL) 8/2007**

**ASHOK AGGARWAL**

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rakesh Kumar Khanna, Sr.  
Advocate with Mr. Yashraj Singh  
Deora, Mr. Ashutosh Dubey, Mr.  
Priyesh Mohan Srivastava and Ms.  
Sakshi Sharma, Advocates.

versus

**NEERAJ KUMAR & ORS.**

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. S.V. Raju, Sr. Advocate with Mr.  
Kirtiman Singh, Mr. Waize Ali Noor  
and Ms. Durgesh Nandini, Advocates.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SIDDHARTH MRIDUL**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNISH BHATNAGAR**

**ORDER**

% **24.03.2023**

**CRL.M.A.7077/2014**

Mr. S.V. Raju, learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the applicants/respondents 1 and 2 herein presses the present application.

A perusal of the record reflects that reply has not been filed to the present application, on behalf of the non-applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Rakesh Kumar Khanna, learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the non-applicant/petitioner prays for and is granted a week's time to file reply to the present application, after providing an advance copy to learned counsel for the petitioner, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, before the next date of hearing.

Renotify on 26.05.2023.



**CRL.M.A.20239/2022 (Early Hearing)**

The present application under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 has been instituted on behalf of the applicant/petitioner seeking preponement of the date of 11.11.2022 at an early date for hearing of the accompanying contempt petition.

Since the appeal itself is listed for hearing today, the present application seeking preponement of the date of hearing has now become infructuous.

The application is dismissed as infructuous and disposed of accordingly.

**CONT.CAS.(CRL) 8/2007**

Renotify for hearing on 26.05.2023.

**SIDDHARTH MRIDUL, J**

**RAJNISH BHATNAGAR, J**

**MARCH 24, 2023**

*dn*

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)