



\$~SB-1 to 4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7531/2026, CM APPL. 38415/2026

RAMAN GANDHIPetitioner

Through: Ms. Sangita Malhotra, Adv.
with Petitioner in-person.

versus

BAR COUNCIL OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. T. Singhdev, Mr. Tanishq
Srivastava, Ms. Yamini Singh,
Adv. for R-1/BCD and R-
2/RO.

Mr. Preet Pal Singh, Ms.
Tanupreet Kaur, Ms. Medha
Sharma, Ms. Pooja, Ms. Simran
Kumari and Mr. Gaurav, Adv.
for R-3/BCI.

Dr. Lalit Bhasin, Adv. for Nina
Gupta (Ballot No.5) with
Candidate in-person.

(2)

+ W.P.(C) 7532/2026, CM APPL. 38198/2026, CM APPL.
38381/2026, CM APPL. 38416/2026

BIRENDER SANGWAN.Petitioner

Through: Ms. Shobha Gupta, Mr. Gaurav
Kumar Meena, Ms. Simranjeet
Kaur, Ms. Akshita Mishra and
Ms. Manasvi Negi, Adv. along
with Petitioner in person.

Ms. Anushkaa Arora, Mr.
Manish Jain and Ms. Anju
Tanwar and Mr. Kunal I., Adv.
Ms. Priya Hingorani, Sr. Adv.
along with Mr. B. S. Jakhar,
Mr. Rajesh Mishra, Mr. Ramit
Sehrawat, Ms. Garima
Bhardwaj, Mr. Vikram Singh
Jakhar, Mr. Murari Tiwari, Mr.
Rahul Sharma, Mr. Rajpal and
Mr. Neeraj Jakhar, Adv.
Ms. Sangita Malhotra, Adv.



versus
HIGH-POWERED ELECTION SUPERVISORY
COMMITTEE AND ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. T. Singhdev, Mr. Tanishq
Srivastava, Ms. Yamini Singh,
Advs. for R-2/BCD and R-
3/RO.

Ms. Priya Hingorani, Sr. Adv.
with Mr. Naseem Ahmed, Mr.
B. S. Jakhar, Mr. Rajesh
Mishra, Mr. Ramit Sehrawat,
Ms. Garima Bhardwaj, Mr.
Vikram Singh Jakhar, Mr.
Neeraj Jakhar, Ms. Bhawna
Jakhar, Mr. Viraj Rathee, Mr.
Shubham Dabas, Mr. Murari
Tiwari, Mr. Rahul Sharma Mr.
Mohit Yadav, Mr. Mahavir
Sharma, Ms. Chetna Sharma,
Ms. Perna Singh, Ms. Aastha
Advs. for the Applicants.

Dr. Lalit Bhasin, Adv. for Nina
Gupta.

Mr. Preet Pal Singh, Ms.
Tanupreet Kaur, Ms. Medha
Sharma, Ms. Pooja, Ms. Simran
Kumari and Mr. Gaurav, Advs.
for BCI.

Dr. Lalit Bhasin, Adv. for Nina
Gupta (Ballot No.5) with
Candidate in-person.

(3)

+ W.P.(C) 7533/2026, CM APPL. 38417/2026, CM APPL.
38418/2026

SHAILY TARAR AND ORSPetitioners

Through: Ms. Sangita Malhotra, Adv.
with All Petitioners in person.

Mr. Udit Kumar, Adv.

Ms. Aarti Tyagi, Mr. Shaheen
Mansoori, Mr. Udit, Ms. Shalu
Sharma, Ms. Anju Dixit, Mr.



Prakash Dwevdi, Mr. Dev Raj Sharma, Ms. Sunita Bhaskar, Dr. Lalit Bhasin and Ms. Nina Gupta- all candidates in person.
P-2 & P-4 in-person.

versus

BAR COUNCIL OF DELHI AND ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. T. Singhdev, Mr. Tanishq Srivastava, Ms. Yamini Singh, Advs. for R-1/BCD, R-2/RO and R-3/EC.

Mr. Preet Pal Singh, Ms. Tanupreet Kaur, Ms. Medha Sharma, Ms. Pooja, Ms. Simran Kumari and Mr. Gaurav, Advs. for R-4/BCI..

Dr. Lalit Bhasin, Adv. for Nina Gupta (Ballot No.5) with Candidate in-person.

(4)

+ W.P.(C) 7972/2026, CM APPL. 38276/2026

RUDRA VIKRAM SINGHPetitioners

Through: Mr. Rudra Vikram Singh, Mr. Ashirvad Kumar Singh, Ms. Rashmi, Advs.

versus

BAR COUNCIL OF DELHI AND ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. T. Singhdev, Mr. Tanishq Srivastava, Ms. Yamini Singh, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA

ORDER

%

31.05.2026

1. We have heard Mr. Rajiv Khosla, Ms. Shobha Gupta, Mr. Raman Gandhi, Ms. Anushka Arora, Dr. Lalit Bhasin, Mr. Vaibhav Jain, Ms. Nina Gupta and Mr. Rudra Vikram Singh, Advocates on behalf of the Petitioners and Mr. T. Singhdev, Ms. Priya Hingorani



(Senior Advocate), Mr. Sunil Mittal and Mr. Peyoosh Kalra, Advocates on behalf of the Respondents.

2. Col. Arun Sharma (Retd.), Secretary, Bar Council of India, who is present in Court during the course of hearing states that Mr. Pramod Kumar had allocated tables to a team of sixty (60) officials who were brought by him, as a replacement to the initial set of officials for the purpose of counting of ballots.

3. Upon perusal of videos played during the course of hearing, it has become evident that ballot papers after having been counted in the first round were kept in open baskets allocated to each of the 221 candidates, manned by Counting Official.

4. On a Court's query, Mr. T. Singhdev has disclosed that original DVR comprising recordings of 23 cameras installed at the time of counting is in possession of Investigating Officer (I.O.) in FIR No.45/2026, Police Station Tilak Marg, New Delhi. A perusal of the same is deemed necessary for the purpose of adjudication of the present dispute.

5. Accordingly, Mr. T. Singhdev is directed to inform the I.O. to appear in-person through SHO before this Court tomorrow, i.e., 01.06.2026 at 10:30 AM along with the DVR, if it is in his possession.

6. It is clarified that the I.O. shall appear before this Court, even if the DVR has gone for forensic examination.

7. A photocopy of the order passed today be kept in the connected matters.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

TEJAS KARIA, J.

MAY 31, 2026/'A'/'HR'