



\$~95 and 96

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CONT.CAS(C) 883/2026

(95) TARUN KUMAR AND ORSPetitioners
Through: Mr. Rajiv Agarwal, Mr. Siddharth
Sapra and Ms. Meghna De,
Advocates.

versus

SH AMOL SRIVASTAVA AND ANRRespondents
Through: Mrs. Avnish Ahlawat, SC for
GNCTD along with Ms. Aliza Alam
and Mr. Mohnish Sehrawat,
Advocates.

+ W.P.(C) 6149/2024

(96) GOVT OF NCT OF DELHIPetitioner
Through: Mrs. Avnish Ahlawat, SC for
GNCTD along with Ms. Aliza Alam
and Mr. Mohnish Sehrawat,
Advocates.

versus

TARUN KUMAR AND ORSRespondents
Through: Mr. Rajiv Agarwal, Mr. Siddharth
Sapra and Ms. Meghna De,
Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

% **26.05.2026**

CONT.CAS(C) 883/2026

1. *Vide* order dated 18.05.2026 passed by this Court in the present contempt petition, it was directed as under: -

"1. Issue notice to the respondents, on necessary steps being taken by the petitioners, through all permissible modes, including electronically as also through the counsel, who represented the GNCTD in W.P.(C) 6149/2024.

2. In view of the urgency emphasized by the petitioners, let reply be filed within a period of one week from today.



3. List along with W.P.(C) 6149/2024 on 26.05.2026 in the category of 'Supplementary Matters'."

2. It transpires that the reply has not yet been filed by the respondents in the present contempt petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners (in the present contempt petition) submits that there is continued non-compliance with the directions/undertaking incorporated in the order dated 06.04.2026 passed by this Court in W.P.(C) 6149/2024. The relevant portion of the said order reads as under:

"2. During the course of hearing, relying upon averment/s made on behalf of the petitioner in the context of a previous application filed by the respondent/s, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there shall be no impediment to the respondents resuming their responsibilities in terms of the impugned Award and drawing wages upon discharge of their responsibilities. The said statement is taken on record.

3. Let the necessary consequential orders be passed by the petitioner as expeditiously as possible and in any event, within a period of 2 weeks from today."

4. It is noticed that *vide* order dated 06.04.2026 passed by this Court in W.P.(C) 6149/2024, a categorical undertaking / statement was made (as recorded in paragraph – 2 of the said order), to the effect that there shall be no impediment to the respondents in W.P.(C) 6149/2024, in resuming their responsibility in terms of the impugned award therein and drawing wages upon discharge of their responsibilities.

5. Paragraph- 3 of the aforesaid order makes it clear that it is incumbent on the concerned officials of GNCTD to pass necessary consequential orders to give effect to the aforesaid undertaking.

6. Let necessary compliance be done with the aforesaid directions, failing which, this Court shall be constrained to take appropriate action against the District Magistrate (East) and the Sub-Divisional Magistrate,



Vishwas Nagar, for committing willful disobedience of the orders passed by this Court.

7. Needless to say, the aforesaid shall be subject to the outcome of any subsisting challenge on behalf of the respondents herein to the award dated 19.03.2024.

8. The respondents herein are directed to file a compliance affidavit / reply within a period of four weeks from today.

9. List on 13.07.2026.

CM APPL. 33351/2026 (seeking revival of CM APPL. 50372/2025) in W.P.(C) 6149/2024

10. List on 13.07.2026.

SACHIN DATTA, J

MAY 26, 2026/r