



\$~20

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 679/2022**

**SHYAM KISHAN SARAF (HUF)** .....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Neeraj Kumar, Adv.**

versus

**M/S. INDIRAPURAM HABITAT CENTRE PRIVATE LIMITED &  
ORS.** .....Respondent

Through: **Mr. Amit Punj, Mr. Archit Arora,  
Advs. for R-1, 4 and 5.  
Ms. Kamhakshi Singh, Probono  
Counsel (DHCLSC) Adv. for R-3.  
Along with R-3 in person  
(through VC)  
Mr. Alok Kumar Pandey and Mr.  
Shekhar Sharma, Advs. for R-6.  
Ms. Shreya, Adv. for R-7  
(through VC).**

**CORAM:  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL**

**ORDER**

%

**08.05.2025**

**CM APPL. 55657/2024 (for impleadment of respondents)**

1. This application under Order 1 Rule 10 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 ('CPC') has been filed by petitioner seeking impleadment of *Ms. Savita Goel & Mr. Rajeev Nautiyal* as respondent nos. 8 & 9 respectively, in the petition.
2. Counsel for petitioner points out that **by order dated 28<sup>th</sup> January 2025 in O.M.P.(I) (COMM.) 107/2019** titled *Banwari Lal Saraf (HUF) v*

*This is a digitally signed order.*

*The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.*

*The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 14/05/2025 at 11:30:51*



*Indirapuram Habitat Center Private Limited & Ors.*, the said persons were also impleaded in context of the facts as stated therein, which are extracted as under:

**I.A. 35780/2024 (under Order I Rule 10 CPC)**

1. This application has been filed seeking impleadment of *Ms. Savita Goel* and *Mr. Rajeev Nautiyal* as respondent Nos. 8 and 9, respectively, in respect of Contempt Petition No. 53/2022 filed by the petitioner, against contempt of Order dated 8<sup>th</sup> April 2019.
2. As stated in the application, in particular response of respondent no.5 to the contempt petition, that they were instructed and directed by respondent no.8, the Director of respondent no.1 and respondent no.9, the CFO of respondent no.1, to execute the sub-lease deed in question, it would be apposite to implead *Ms. Savita Goel* and *Mr. Rajeev Nautiyal* as respondent nos. 8 and 9. Amended memo of parties be filed.
3. Accordingly, the application is allowed and is disposed of.

**O.M.P.(I) (COMM.) 107/2019**

1. On steps being taken by the petitioner, notice be issued to the newly impleaded respondent nos. 8 and 9, through all permissible modes.
2. Re-notify on 2<sup>nd</sup> April 2025.
3. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

3. Accordingly, this application is also allowed and accordingly, disposed of.

**CONT.CAS(C) 679/2022**

1. Amended Memo of Parties be filed.
2. Counsel for respondent no.3 appears on behalf of legal aid and states that they neither have a copy of the petition nor of the application.
3. Counsel for petitioner shall supply the same in digital form during the course of the day.

*This is a digitally signed order.*

*The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.*

*The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 14/05/2025 at 11:30:51*



4. Permission is given to counsel for petitioner to serve *Ms. Savita Goel* through the counsel appearing on her behalf in the criminal proceedings.
5. Counsels appear for respondent nos.1, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7.
6. Issue fresh Notice to respondent no.2 on steps being taken by petitioner through all permissible modes including E-mail, etc.
7. List on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2025.
8. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

**ANISH DAYAL, J**

**MAY 8, 2025/ak/na**

*Click here to check corrigendum, if any*

*This is a digitally signed order.*

*The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.*

*The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 14/05/2025 at 11:30:51*