



\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 499/2020**

TECH MAHINDRA LTD.

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Rashmi Gogoi and Ms. Anwesh
Padhi, Advocates.

versus

SHRI GYANESH BHARTI COMMISSIONER, SDMC & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Aakanksha Kaul and Ms.
Beenashaw, Advocates for R-1.
Ms. Puja Kalra, Standing Counsel
with Mr. Virender Singh, Advocate
for R-3 and 6.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

07.07.2022

%

During the course of arguments, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of respondent no. 1 has referred to his written submissions with contain a tabulation whereby the amount that has already been paid to the petitioner has been set out. The said tabular statement also refers to the withheld amount.

Let the petitioner file its own detailed tabular chart setting out the amount that has already been received by the petitioner and also setting out the amount which according to the petitioner remains to be paid.

Learned counsel for the petitioner may also take instructions as to whether it is in a position to obtain 'No Claim Certificate' from IBM, since a significant amount has been withheld by the respondent no. 1 for want of



such certificate.

Let the parties also endeavour to sit amongst themselves and try to resolve the matter amicably with regard to computation of interest.

List on 14th December, 2022.

SACHIN DATTA, J

JULY 7, 2022

AK