



\$~50

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2856/2025**

BHARAT NARULA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vipin Kumar Mishra, Adv.
Petitioner in person (*through VC*)

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Manoj Pant, APP
SI Satish
Mr. Neeraj Yadav and Mr. Shiv
Shankar Mani Tripathi, Adv. R2
R2- in person (*through VC*)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

06.07.2026

%

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of **FIR No. 175/2010** dated 11.05.2010, registered at Police Station K.W. Camp/Model Town, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Section 307 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter referred to as the 'IPC') and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom.
3. Issue notice. Learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
4. The Petitioner and Respondent No. 2 are present before this Court and have been duly identified by their respective learned counsel.
5. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that although the FIR was initially registered under Section 307 IPC, *vide* order dated 27.06.2011, the



learned Trial Court did not frame charges under Section 307 IPC, and charges were framed only under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC. He further submits that the parties have amicably resolved all their matrimonial disputes and have been residing together along with their children. It is submitted that nothing further survives between the parties.

6. On a query made by this Court, Respondent No. 2 categorically states that she has entered into the settlement out of her own free will, without any force, coercion or undue influence. She further states that she has been residing with Petitioner No. 1 since June, 2016 and has no objection if the present FIR and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.

7. In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their matrimonial disputes, resumed cohabitation and have been living together, no useful purpose would be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Rather, continuation thereof would only result in unnecessary litigation between the parties. There is no legal impediment to quashing the FIR in the facts and circumstances of the present case.

8. Accordingly, **FIR No. 175/2010** dated 11.05.2010, registered at Police Station K.W. Camp/Model Town, Delhi, initially under Section 307 IPC, in which charges have been framed under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC, and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, are hereby quashed.

9. The present petition stands disposed of.

MADHU JAIN, J.

JULY 6, 2026/ys/P