



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 658/2019

SMT POONAM @ SWEETY THROUGH
PAROKAR HER SON TARUN

..... Appellant

Represented by: Mr.Sanjay Kumar Chhikara,
Advocate

versus

THE STATE

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr.Ashok Kumar Garg, APP for the
State with SI Deep Chand, PS Vasant
Vihar

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER
22.05.2019

%

CrI.M.A.No.11002/2019

Allowed subject to just exceptions.

CrI.M.A.No.11000/2019

For the reasons stated in the application, delay of 7 days in filing the
appeal is condoned.

Application is disposed of.

CrI.A.No.658/2019

Admit.

Trial Court Record be called for.

CRL.A. 658/2019

page 1 of 2



Crl.M.B.No.931/2019

1. Notice.
2. Learned APP for the State accepts notice.
3. Case of the prosecutrix is that she was induced by one Salma to come to Delhi from Nepal and was first kept in the house of Urmila and then at the house of convict Anju Sthapit @ Aisha and was finally sold to the appellant at the house of Anjua Sthapit @ Aisha. While at the house of Anju, she was raped by accused Dishant and she could manage to escape from the clutches only on 16th March, 2014. Explanation of the appellant in her statement under Section 313 Cr.P.C. was that the prosecutrix came to her house to refresh herself and that she had stayed at her house only for one night.
4. Considering the testimony of the prosecutrix, this Court finds no ground to suspend the sentence of the appellant at this stage.
5. Application is dismissed.

Crl.M.A.No.11001/2019

By this application, the appellant seeks stay to the extent not to deposit the fine imposed by the learned Trial Court. Application of the appellant seeking suspension of sentence has been dismissed. In case the appellant does not wish to or is not in a position to pay the fine amount, the appellant can undergo sentence in default of payment of fine.

Application is dismissed.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

MAY 22, 2019/mamta
CRL.A. 658/2019

page 2 of 2