



\$~41 & 42

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10566/2019 & CM APPL. 43723/2019**

M/S SANMATI JEWELLERS

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rupin Singh Dhama, Advocate
versus

SHRI PRADEEP KUMAR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. R. Pandey, Advocate

+ **W.P.(C) 8031/2021 & CM APPL. 24998/2021**

M/S. SANMATI JEWELLERS

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rupin Singh Dhama, Advocate
versus

SHRI PRADEEP KUMAR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. R. Pandey, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

%

05.11.2024

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. Pleadings are complete as petitioner did not wish to file rejoinder. Learned counsel for respondent/workman submits that till date litigation expenses have not been awarded to the respondent. Keeping in mind the nature of this litigation petitioner is directed to pay Rs. 20,000/- each to respondent by way of Demand Draft in his name within four weeks towards litigation expenses.
2. Relist on 16.04.2025.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

NOVEMBER 5, 2024/rk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any