



\$~7

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 390/2025**

**GNC HOLDINGS LLC & ANR.**

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Shivam Sharma, Adv.  
(through VC)

versus

**ARPIT MANGAL & ORS.**

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Ramchandra Madan, Mr.  
Tushar Nigam & Mr.  
Himanshu Yadav, Advs. for  
D-1.  
Ms. Pragya Jain & Ms.  
Surabhi Katare, Advs. for  
D-2. (M- 9425172896)  
([mail@mason.co.in](mailto:mail@mason.co.in))

**CORAM:**

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL), SUDHIR KUMAR  
SIROHI (DHJS)**

**ORDER**

%

**02.02.2026**

Replication of the plaintiff to the written statement of defendant no. 1 is under objection, objections be removed as per law.

Service-affidavit has been filed by the plaintiff, vide diary no. 51692/2026 and as per same, all the defendants were served on 15.12.2025 through emails.

The pleadings are complete qua defendant no. 1.

No one has appeared on behalf of defendant no. 3 & 4 however the prescribed time period to file the written statement has not expired, therefore upon filing of written statement as per law, then replication be filed in accordance with law.



List the matter for the completion of pleadings on **14.05.2026**.



**IA No. 10598/2025 (u/o XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 r/w Section 151 CPC)**

Ld. counsel for the plaintiff submits that his replication may be treated as rejoinder to the reply (*written statement of defendant no. 1*). Ld. counsel for the defendant no. 1 submits that the plaintiff may be directed to serve the replication. Ld. counsel for the plaintiff submits that he will serve the replication during the course of the day. Submissions be taken on record.

Ld. counsel for the defendant no. 2 submits that his written statement may be treated as reply to the said IA. Submissions be taken on record. Rejoinder, if any be filed in terms of the order of the Hon'ble Court dated 06.10.2025.

No reply has been filed by defendant no. 3 to 4 and the period of four weeks to file the reply as per order of the Hon'ble Court dated 06.10.2025 has already expired.

**IA No. 2796/2026 (u/r 5 of Chapter VII of Delhi High Court Rules seeking condonation of delay in filing the replication to the written statement filed by defendant no. 1)**

Issue notice. Accepted. Not opposed. Allowed. The delay in filing the replication to the written statement of defendant no. 1 is condoned. The replication to the written statement of defendant no. 1 be taken on record.

**IA No. 2852/2026 (By defendant no. 2 u/c VII Rule 4 of Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules r/w Section 151 CPC seeking condonation of delay in filing written statement)**



Issue notice. Accepted. ~~Not~~ opposed. Allowed. the delay in filing the written statement of defendant no. 2 is condoned. The written statement of defendant no. 2 be taken on record. Replication, if any be filed in accordance with law.

**SUDHIR KUMAR SIROHI (DHJS)  
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**FEBRUARY 2, 2026/jr**

*[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)*