



\$~4

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

LA.APP. 38/2021 & CM APPL.24981/2021

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. M.S. Akhtar, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pathak, Advocate

versus

SUNIL MATHUR & ORS.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. M.C. Verma, Advocate for R-1 to 3
Mr. Roshan Lal Goel, Advocate for R-4

CORAM: SH. SANJAY KUMAR SHARMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

28.07.2022

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through physical/hybrid mode.

As per office report, notice could not be issued to respondent Nos.2 and 3 as process fee was not filed by the appellant.

Mr. M.C. Verma, Advocate appearing in the matter submits that he is representing all the private respondents being respondent Nos.1 to 3 in these proceedings. He further submits that that he does not wish to file any reply. Let vakalatnama on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 be filed within two weeks.

It has already been stated on behalf of respondent No.4-DDA that they have no conflict of interest with the appellant and in fact they support the stands taken by the appellant-Union of India.

List again on 23.09.2022.

REGISTRAR

JULY 28, 2022

vk