



\$~3

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

LA.APP. 38/2021 & CM APPL.24981/2021

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. M.S. Akhtar, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pathak, Advocate

versus

SUNIL MATHUR & ORS.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. M.C. Verma, Advocate for
respondent No.1
Mr. Roshan Lal Goel, Advocate for
respondent No.4

CORAM: SH. SANJAY KUMAR SHARMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

25.04.2022

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through physical/hybrid mode.

Mr. Roshan Lal Goel, Advocate appearing for respondent No.4-DDA submits that they have no conflict of interest with the appellant and in fact respondent No.4-DDA supports the stands taken by the appellant.

As per office report, respondent Nos.2 and 3 are yet to be served as process fee and fresh/correct addresses were not filed by the appellant.

On appellant's furnishing fresh/correct addresses of respondent Nos.2 & 3 and taking steps within a week, issue notice to respondent Nos.2 and 3, by ordinary process as well as by speed post, returnable on 28.07.2022.

Page 1 of 2



In the meanwhile, let reply, if any, be filed by respondent No.1 within four weeks with advance copy to learned counsel for the appellant, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, before the next date.

REGISTRAR

APRIL 25, 2022

vk

Page 2 of 2