



\$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CS(COMM) 350/2024, I.A. 9658/2024, I.A. 9659/2024,
I.A. 9660/2024, I.A. 9666/2024 & CC(COMM) 25/2025
DOLBY INTERNATIONAL AB & ANR.Plaintiffs

Through: Mr. Ashutosh Kumar, Ms. Saya
Choudhary Kapur, Mr. Devanshu
Khanna, Mr. Swarnil Dey and
Ms. Harsshita Pothiraj, Advocates

versus

LAVA INTERNATIONAL LIMITEDDefendant
Through: Mr. Ashok K. Agarwal and
Mr. Parvez A. Khan, Advocate

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER
11.07.2025

%

I.A. 9658/2024 (seeking permission to file defendant's infringing handset devices tested by the plaintiffs' external expert Dr. Michael Sprenger along with certain other documents)

1. This application is filed by the plaintiffs seeking permission to file the defendant's infringing handset devices, which were tested by the plaintiffs' external expert Dr. Michael Sprenger, along with certain other documents.
2. Counsel for the defendant does not oppose the present application.
3. For the reasons stated in the application, plaintiffs are permitted to file the original devices that were tested by the plaintiff in a sealed box/trunk.
4. The application stands disposed of.

I.A. 9659/2024 (u/s 12A of Commercial Courts Act, 2015)

5. The present application has been filed on behalf of the plaintiffs



seeking exemption from the requirement of pre-institution mediation.

6. Counsel for the defendant does not oppose the present application

7. Accordingly, the present application is allowed.

I.A. 9666/2024 (O-XXVI R-9 of CPC)

8. The counsel for the plaintiffs submits that the present application has become infructuous.

9. Accordingly, the application is disposed of as infructuous.

CS(COMM) 350/2024, CC(COMM) 25/2025 & I.A. 9660/2024 (seeking constitution of a confidentiality club)

10. *Vide* order dated 10th July, 2025, in accordance with Rule 11 of the High Court Rules Governing Patent Suits, 2022 and also Chapter VII Rule 17 of the Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018, I had directed the formation of a Confidentiality Club comprising of two counsels each from both sides for the purpose of identifying and submitting the list of information which was required to be redacted in the judgement dated 10th July, 2025 was to be sought.

11. Pursuant to the order passed on 10th July 2025, an unredacted copy of the judgment was provided to the counsels nominated in the confidentiality club from both parties. After examining the unredacted copy of the judgment dated 10th July, 2025, counsel for the parties jointly request that certain identified portions of the judgment be redacted, citing requirements to maintain confidentiality of commercially sensitive information.

12. Accordingly, it is directed that the jointly identified portions of the judgment shall be redacted.

13. Once the redaction exercise is carried out, let the redacted copy of the judgment be uploaded on the court website today, i.e. 11th July, 2025.



14. Taking into account the confidential/commercially sensitive information contained in the judgment, it is directed that the Registry shall provide certified copies of the unredacted version of the judgment only to the counsels who are members of the confidentiality club created *vide* order dated 10th July, 2025.

15. The members of the confidentiality club shall not make copies of the unredacted version of the judgment or disclose the contents of the unredacted portion of the judgment to any other person or entity except the parties to the present suit.

16. List on 6th October, 2025.

AMIT BANSAL, J

JULY 11, 2025/ds