



\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.REV.P. 611/2019 CRL.M.A. 10985/2019 CRL.M.A.
10987/2019

GAURAV SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Gautam Khazanchi, Vinayak
Chawla, Mr. Vaibhav Dubey, Aditi
Kukreja, Ms. Pooja Deepak (along
with petitioner in person)

versus

CHERRY @ MEENA SHARMA & ANR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Lakshay Malhotra for respondent
along with respondent no.1

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

20.12.2024

%

1. Pursuant to previous order, counsel for petitioner along with his son are present in Court.
2. The petitioner has stated that he has only paid Rs. 29,000/- since the stay order was passed by this Court on 22nd May, 2019.
3. By the said order, the impugned order was stayed subject to the condition that the petitioner shall pay Rs. 5,000/- to respondent/wife. Petitioner pleads inability to comply with the said directions.
4. Counsel for petitioner has made concerted attempts to counsel the petitioner about the implications of his non-payment, however, petitioner states that he has no monies to pay. His son, who is now over the age of majority and in the workforce states that he is only earning Rs. 5,000/-, as an



intern.

5. Nevertheless, whatever the reasons might be for non-payment, the stay on the impugned order, cannot be sustained as directed by the Court on 22nd May 2019.

6. Accordingly, it is directed that there shall be no stay on this impugned order. The respondent is free to take steps in accordance with law in order to execute the impugned judgment.

7. List on 22nd April 2025.

8. The pendency of the matter will not impede any proceedings before the Trial Court.

9. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

DECEMBER 20, 2024/RK/kp