



\$~7 & 8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.A. 419/2020 & CRL.M.A. 14601/2022, CRL.M.A. 4139/2023**
SAMITA BHARDWAJAppellant

Through: Mr. Atul Singh, Adv.

versus

THE STATE AND ORSRespondent

Through: Mr. Aashneet Singh, APP for State.
Mr. Ajay Verma, Ms. Smriti S. Nair
and Ms. Sneha Sejwal, Advs. for R2.
Mr. Jitender Sethi, Sr. Adv. with Mr.
Hemant Gulati, Advs. for R3 & R5.
Mr. Rajat Agnihotri, Adv. for R6.
Mr. Rajender Singh and Mr. Diwas
Kumar, Advs. for R8.

~8

+ **CRL.L.P. 20/2021**

STATEPetitioner

Through: Mr. Aashneet Singh, APP for State

versus

RAKESH @NANHA & ORS.Respondent

Through: Mr. Ajay Verma, Ms. Smriti S. Nair
and Ms. Sneha Sejwal, Advs. for R1.
Mr. Jitender Sethi, Sr. Adv. with Mr.
Hemant Gulati, Adv. for R2 & R4.
Mr. Rajat Agnihotri, Adv. for R5.
Mr. Rajender Singh and Mr. Diwas
Kumar, Advs. for R7.

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

% **28.01.2026**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. After hearing some preliminary submissions, it is directed that the



Court would first consider **CRL.L.P. 20/2021** and then proceed with hearing the other Appeal.

3. Ld. Counsel appearing for the State seeks an adjournment, as the record is voluminous. He thus, requests for some time to go through the records.

4. On the next date, along with the **CRL.L.P. 20/2021**, the application filed by the Respondent, being **CRL.M.A. 14601/2022** shall also be heard, on the question as to whether the estranged daughter-in-law of the deceased, who is the Appellant in **CRL.A. 419/2020** has locus standi to maintain the Appeal or not.

5. It is made clear that no further adjournments shall be granted in these matters.

6. List on 24th March, 2026.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J

MADHU JAIN, J

JANUARY 28, 2026

b/ss