



\$~2.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 419/2020

SAMITA BHARDWAJ

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Chander Mukesh Sangwan,
Advocate.

versus

THE STATE AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ashish Dutta, APP, for the State
with Inspector Neeraj Chaudhary, PS
Crime, SI Shikshi, PS Vasant Kunj.
Mr. Nitesh Bhardwaj, respondent
No.6 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNISH BHATNAGAR

ORDER

25.11.2020

%

Respondent No.6/ Mr. Nitesh Bhardwaj is present in response to the notice issued in the appeal.

So far as respondents No.2 to 8, except respondent No.7 are concerned, they have not been served personally with the Court Notice. Mr.Dutta states that respondents No. 2 to 7, except respondent No.6 have been served the notices through their relatives/ neighbours, etc. We cannot consider the same to be good service. Respondent No.8's address has not been found to be correct.

In these circumstances, we issue notice to respondents No.2 to 8, except respondent No.6, returnable on 25.01.2021. Notice be also issued to



the sureties furnished by the said respondents before the Trial Court at the time of acquittal.

Complete paper book be made available to respondent No.6 by the Court Master through e-mail. Respondent No.6 is bound down to appear before the Court. Respondent No.6 shall furnish a surety in the sum of Rs.20,000/- to the satisfaction of the Registrar of this Court within four weeks.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

RAJNISH BHATNAGAR, J

NOVEMBER 25, 2020

B.S. Rohella