



\$~44

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 334/2024 and I.A. 9465/2024, I.A. 9466/2024  
I.A. 37617/2024 and I.A. 5499/2025

AMIT JAIN

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Gaurav Bahl and Mr. Gokul  
Sharma, Advocates.

versus

NARESH CHAND JAIN & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Manik Dogra, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Sandeep Das, Mr. Ninad Dogra and Mr.  
Dhruv Pandey, Advocates for D1, D2 and D6.  
Mr. Alok Tiwari, Ms. Kritika Banal and Mr.  
Saurabh Tiwari, Advocates for D3 and D5.  
Mr. Pranjit Bhattacharya, Ms. Salonee Shukla and  
Ms. Shalini Singh, Advocates for D4.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**18.05.2026**

1. Mr. Manik Dogra, learned Sr. Counsel appearing on behalf of the defendant nos. 1, 2 and 6 submits that the stand taken by the plaintiff in the replication is completely at variance with the stand taken in the plaint.
2. He submits that liberty may be granted to him to file sur-rejoinder to the said replication.
3. Mr. Gaurav Bahl, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the plaintiff, however, opposes the same.
4. He contends that there is no provision for filing the sur-rejoinder. However, defendants may file an appropriate application, if they so wish.



5. Let learned counsel for the defendant nos. 1, 2 and 6 take steps in accordance with the law.
6. Re-notify on 15.10.2026.

**VIKAS MAHAJAN, J**

**MAY 18, 2026/jg**