



\$~22

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ TEST.CAS. 12/2016

SMT ANITA BHATIA & ANR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vaibhav Kush, Mr. Deepak Raj
Pathak, Advs.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Kailash Prashad Pandey, Adv. for R-2
(iv & v) M. 9999894307, (Through VC)
advkpandey78@gmail.com
Ms. Sunita Singh alongwith Ms. Rani
Bhatia, Adv. for R-3.
Mr. Nishant Anand, Ms. Gunjan Bansal,
Advs. for R-4

(Evidence recorded from 04.00 PM to 04.40 PM) – 4 pages

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. TYAGITA SINGH, (DHJS)

ORDER

%

31.01.2025

Matter is taken up through physical hearing as well as video conferencing.

Ld. Counsel for Respondent no.3 has stated that in the cross-examination of PW-1 Sh. Vinod Kumar Sharma dated 27.01.2025, at page no. 2, 4th line, the word 'not' is missing due to typographical error, whereas the complete statement should be 'I do not maintain the record of the documents drafted by me and I have not maintained any such record'.



Heard. The cross-examination of PW-1 dated 27.01.2025 perused. It seems that due to some clerical / typographical error, the word 'not' was left to be mentioned in the abovesaid statement of the witness. Therefore, the word 'not' be read into the statement and the statement be read as '**I do not maintain the record of the documents drafted by me and I have not maintained any such record**'. Rest of the cross-examination of PW-1 remains as such.

PW-1 Sh. Vinod Kumar Sharma has been recalled for further cross-examination, further cross-examined on behalf of Respondent no.4. The cross-examination has been concluded and witness is discharged. Diet money paid by petitioner. Uncertified copy of evidence has been provided to both the parties free of cost.

Hard copy of affidavit of remaining witnesses be filed on record.

Be fixed for remaining Petitioner's Evidence on 28.04.2025 and 30.04.2025 at 12.30 PM.

**TYAGITA SINGH, (DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

JANUARY 31, 2025/sm