



\$~16

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

TEST.CAS. 12/2016

SMT ANITA BHATIA & ANR

..... Petitioners

Through: Ms. Samvartika Pathak, Adv.

Versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Sunita Yadav, Adv. for R-3
Ms. Gunjan Bansal, Adv. for R-4
Mr. Kailash Prashad Pandey,
Adv. for applicant

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PRIYA
MAHENDRA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

%

23.03.2023

**IA No.5384/2021 under Order I Rule 10 CPC moved by
the applicant for impleadment**

It is stated by learned counsel for the petitioners that he has already filed reply to captioned IA vide diary no.111021/2023. However, as per office note, the same is lying under objections. Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to check up the same with the Registry and get the same placed on record after removing the objections, if any.

It is stated by learned counsel for the applicant that he has not received copy of reply filed by the petitioners. So, the petitioners are directed to supply copy of reply to learned counsel for the applicant within two days against due acknowledgement. Thereafter, rejoinder, if any, be filed by the applicant within two weeks with copy to the opposite side.

It is stated by respective counsel for the respondent



no.3& respondent no.4 and they do not wish to file any reply to captioned IA.

Re-notify captioned IA for completion of pleadings on 28.04.2023.

PRIYA MAHENDRA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
MARCH 23, 2023/ab
Click here to check corrigendum, if any