



\$~16 to 18.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA(OS) 64/2013

A.D.OVERSEAS & ANR

..... Appellants

Through: Mr. Arvind Nigam and Mr. Ritin Rai,
Senior Advocates with Ms. Meera Mathur,
Mr. Vidur Bhatia, Mr. Mehtaab Singh Sandhu and
Mr. Pratishth Kaushal, Advocates

versus

B.R.SHARMA

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Sandeep Sethi, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Neeraj Yadav, Advocate

+ RFA(OS) 65/2013

A.D.OVERSEAS & ANR

..... Appellants

Through: Mr. Arvind Nigam and Mr. Ritin Rai,
Senior Advocates with Ms. Meera Mathur,
Mr. Vidur Bhatia, Mr. Mehtaab Singh Sandhu and
Mr. Pratishth Kaushal, Advocates

versus

ANIL SHARMA

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Sandeep Sethi, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Neeraj Yadav, Advocate

+ RFA(OS) 66/2013

A.D.OVERSEAS & ANR

..... Appellants

Through: Mr. Arvind Nigam and Mr. Ritin Rai,
Senior Advocates with Ms. Meera Mathur,
Mr. Vidur Bhatia, Mr. Mehtaab Singh Sandhu and
Mr. Pratishth Kaushal, Advocates

versus

SNEH LATA SHARMA

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Sandeep Sethi, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Neeraj Yadav, Advocate

RFA(OS) 64/2013 and connected matters

Page 1 of 3



CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD GOEL

ORDER

%

16.05.2019

1. Learned counsels for the parties draw the attention of this Court to the order dated 10.03.2017 passed by the Supreme Court, quashing and setting aside the earlier order dated 19.05.2014 passed by the Division Bench, disposing of all the three appeals filed by the appellants/plaintiffs and the cross-objections filed by the respondents/defendants and restoring the suits for a fresh decision. The appeals have been restored to be re-heard.
2. We have enquired from learned counsel for the parties as to what is the present status of the parcels of land, subject matter of the three suits for specific performance instituted by the appellants/plaintiffs.
3. Mr. Sandeep Sethi, Senior Advocate appearing for the respondents/defendants states on instructions that immediately after the impugned judgment dated 08.10.2012, came to be passed, the respondents had sold the parcels of land to third parties for valuable consideration.
4. In view of the aforesaid developments, we have asked if the parties would be willing to arrive at an out of court amicable settlement in respect of the monies paid by the appellants to the respondents towards part sale consideration in respect of the subject land. Both sides are not averse to the said suggestion.
5. Accordingly, without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties, they are at liberty to negotiate an out of court settlement and report the same.

RFA(OS) 64/2013 and connected matters

Page 2 of 3



6. List in the category of 'Directions' for reporting settlement, if any, on 02.08.2019.

HIMA KOHLI, J

VINOD GOEL, J

MAY 16, 2019
rkb