



\$~48

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2794/2025
TARUN TIRKHA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vikas Pahwa, Senior Advocate with Mr. Alok Kumar Pandey, Ms. Nancy Shamim, Ms. Muskaan Dewan, Mr. Kunal Prakash, Mr. Shekhar Sharma, Advocates.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT
OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for the State with SI Mahavir Singh and SI Chetan, PS Paschim Vihar West.
Mr. Archit Kaushik, Advocate for complainant.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER
25.04.2025

%

CRL.M.A. 12492/2025 (Exemption)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 2794/2025 & CRL.M.A. 12491/2025 (Stay)

3. This petition is filed by the petitioner seeking quashing of FIR No. 170/2025 dated 08.04.2025, registered at police station Paschim Vihar West for the offences under Sections 420/406/467/468/471/120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, which was registered on a complaint given by one complainant namely Mr. Parminder Singh, who alleged that he had invested a



sum of ₹10,00,000/- with the accused persons including the petitioner in the form of crypto currency and cash with a promise that he would receive huge returns.

4. It is alleged that the investment was made in the year 2021 and till date nothing has been received by the complainant.

5. The learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that multiple complaints have been given by different complainants at the instance of one disgruntled employee.

6. He submits that the FIRs have been registered all over the country, alleging investment being made with the petitioner and other accused persons without there being any proof of such investments. He submits that the complainant in the present case has also not invested any money with the petitioner and has only made allegations regarding payment of cash to the petitioner.

7. He submits that in similar circumstances another FIR being FIR No. 85/2023 was registered at the instance of another complainant who had claimed to have invested ₹8,00,000/- without there being any proof of such investment and this Court in CRL.M.C.873/2025 filed by the petitioner seeking quashing of the said FIR, has directed the State not to take any coercive action against the petitioner.

8. He lastly contends that in order to show his *bonafide*, the petitioner will deposit the alleged cheated amount of ₹10,00,000/- with the learned Registrar General of this Court in the form of FDR.

9. Issue notice.

10. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State accepts notice.

11. Mr. Archit Kaushik, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the complainant.



12. Status report/reply be filed by the respondents before the next date of hearing.

13. Considering the aforesaid submission and the fact that the petitioner is securing the alleged cheated amount, the State is directed not to take any coercive action against the petitioner till the next date of hearing. The petitioner is however directed to join and co-operate in the investigation.

14. List on 11.08.2025.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

APRIL 25, 2025

DU