



\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 475/2020 & CM APPL. 28595/2020**

DR. KULBHUSHAN SEHGAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pardeep Gupta, Advocate
(Through VC)

versus

PROF. BALRAM BHARGAVA & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Raju Dudani and Mr. Rajdeep
Singh Rao, Advocates.
Mr. Ruchir Mishra and Mr. Sanjiv Kr.
Saxena, Advocates for UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

% 01.05.2023

1. The learned counsel for the Petitioner states that the Petitioner is aggrieved by the office order dated 09.08.2021 issued by the Respondent in compliance of the judgment dated 22.01.2020 passed by the Division Bench in W.P.(C) 1920/2016.
2. He states that the Petitioner is more specifically aggrieved by para 3 (viii), which states that the Petitioner has been given his appointment for a period of two years on 'probation'. He states that the Petitioner should have been granted a regular appointment.
3. He states that the Petitioner is further aggrieved for the unjustified delay of 15 months (for the period 22.03.2020 to 17.08.2021) being the time taken by the Respondent in issuing this office order dated 09.08.2021.



4. He states that the Petitioner herein is entitled to arrears of salary for the said period as well as the non-opening of General Provident Fund ('GPF') account of the Petitioner.
5. He states that on account of the aforesaid anomalies, the directions issued in judgment dated 22.01.2020 have not been complied with in letter and spirit.
6. The learned counsel for the Respondent states that he will seek instructions on the said contentions of the Petitioner on the next date of hearing.
7. List on 05.09.2023.

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

MAY 1, 2023/rhc/asb