



\$~55[Adv.]

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5406/2019 & CM APPL. 23721/2019**

DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION

.....Petitioner

Through : None.

Versus

SMT. MAYA DEVI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through : Mr. Rajiv Agarwal along with Ms. Meghna De, Ms. L. Gangmei, Mr. N. Bhushan, Advs. for the Respondent no.1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

ORDER

% **07.01.2021**

[Court hearing convened via video-conferencing on account of COVID-19]

1. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner/DOE.
2. Mr. Rajiv Agarwal, who appears on behalf of respondent no. 1, says that the petitioner/DOE has not paid the litigation expenses amounting to Rs. 15,000/- to respondent no. 1 as directed *vide* order dated 27.11.2019.
3. Since the matter is listed today on account of an *en bloc* date, adverse orders are deferred *qua* the petitioner/DOE.
4. List the matter on 20.04.2021.
5. In the meanwhile, the petitioner/DOE will comply with the directions contained in order dated 27.11.2019.
6. The respondents will have liberty to file counter-affidavit(s) in the matter before the next date of hearing.
7. The Registry is directed to transmit a copy of the order passed today to



the counsel for the petitioner *via* email.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

JANUARY 07, 2021

pmc

Click here to check corrigendum, if any