



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 9354/2022 and CM APPL. 28036/2022

ARORA PHARMA INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED

& ANR.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Saurabh Kirpal, Sr.
Advocate with Mr. Sidharth
Bawa, Mr. Anuj Garg,
Mr. Mohit Sharma, Advocates
(Ph.9810042267, e-mail:
sidharth@jlaw.in)

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI

& ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Karn Bhardwaj, ASC for
GNCTD with Mr. Aayush
Gautam, Advocates for R-1, 3
& 4 (Ph.9818488194, e-
mail:gautamaayush27@gmail.com)

Mr. Siddharth Panda, Advocate
for R-2 (Ph.9891488088, e-
mail: siddhupanda@gmail.com)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER

12.04.2023

%

[Physical Hearing/ Hybrid Hearing]

1. Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner brings to notice of this Court the judgment in the case of ***Government of NCT of Delhi Through the Secretary, Land and Building Department and Another versus K.L. Rathi Steels Limited and Others, 2023 SCC***



OnLine SC 288. It is submitted that diametrically opposite views have been taken by the two Hon'ble Judges of the Supreme Court in the said judgment. It is submitted that while one Hon'ble Judge has allowed the review petitions filed on behalf of the Government of NCT of Delhi on the basis of the judgment in the case of **Indore Development Authority versus Manoharlal and Others, (2020) 8 SCC 129**. On the other hand, the other Hon'ble Judge has held that review petitions, as such would not be maintainable.

2. In view of the aforesaid, it is submitted that since this Court is also dealing with the issue pertaining to the judgment in the case of **Indore Development Authority (supra)**, it would be in the fitness of things to await the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, which would be rendered by the larger Bench, in view of the conflicting views expressed in the judgment in the case of **K.L. Rathi Steels Limited (supra)**.

3. In view of the aforesaid, list the present matter on 01.09.2023.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

APRIL 12, 2023

PB