



\$~125

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 7102/2026, CM APPL. 34774/2026 & CM APPL. 34775/2026**

TOWARDS VISION TECHNOLOGIESPetitioner
Through: **Mr. Jitender Kumar Garg and Mr.Shwetank Tyagi, Advs.**

versus

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAXRespondent
Through: **Mr. Puneet Rai, SSC with Mr.Ashvini Kr. & Mr. Rishabh Nangia, JSCs**

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR

ORDER
21.05.2026

%

1. The Court was unable to comprehend the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner so also the prayers made in petition.
 2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner sought permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh one.
 3. The writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.
- All pending applications are disposed of.

DINESH MEHTA, J

VINOD KUMAR, J

MAY 21, 2026

kk