



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 5374/2019 & CM APPL. 23645/2019
SH. D.S. MEENAPetitioner
Through: Mr.Rajesh Srivastava,
Mr.Gaurvav Verma, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr.Jitesh Vikram Srivastava,
SPC, Mr.Prajesh Vikram
Srivastava, Advs. for R-1.
Mr.Jasbir Bidhuri, Adv.for R-2.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

%

01.12.2025

1. This petition has been filed by the petitioner, challenging the Order dated 30.04.2019 passed by the learned Central Administrative Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi in O.A. No./100/166/2017, titled **Sh. D.S. Meena v. Union of India and Anr.**, dismissing the O.A. filed by the petitioner herein.
2. In the said O.A., the petitioner had challenged the Memorandum of Charge dated 28.12.2016, contending therein that the same was issued highly belatedly on an allegation which related back to the year 2002; and that the same was issued just days before the superannuation of the petitioner.
3. The learned Tribunal while dismissing the O.A. filed by the petitioner, has taken note of the submission of the respondents that



apart from the petitioner there were seven others (now learned counsel for the respondents submits that they are nine) who had paved a way for the illegal promotion of Dr. M.M.Rao by extending the benefit of reservation and that departmental proceedings had been initiated against these officers as well.

4. Before we proceed to consider the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner on merits, we would like to know the outcome of the disciplinary proceedings initiated by the respondents against these other officers.

5. Let the same be filed alongwith an affidavit, within a period of four weeks from today.

6. List on 17th February, 2026.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

MADHU JAIN, J

DECEMBER 1, 2025/Arya/ik