



\$~39

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

I.A. 16064/2025 & I.A. 16065/2025

IN

+ **CS(COMM) 412/2023**

CASTROL LIMITED

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Urfee Roomi, Ms. Janaki Arun,
Mr. Ritesh Kumar and Mr. Arpit
Singhal, Advocates

versus

**RAJASEKHAR REDDY BYREDDY, TRADING
AS SRI KARTHIKEYA TRADERS**

.....Defendant

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

10.07.2025

%

I.A. 16064/2025 (u/O XXXIX Rule 2A, CPC)

1. This application has been filed under Order XXXIX Rule 2A of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, alleging violation of the orders passed by this Court on 2nd June, 2023, 10th November, 2023, 8th February, 2024 and 3rd April, 2025.
2. Mr. Urfee Roomi, counsel appearing for the plaintiff/applicant has drawn the attention of the Court to the various documents filed with the application to show that despite the aforesaid restraining orders passed against the defendant, the defendant is still continuing to sell the infringing products in violation of the said orders.



3. To be noted, a detailed order was passed by this Court on 3rd April, 2025, in the presence of the defendant to Mr. B. Rajasekhar Reddy, who was present through video conferencing.
4. In the aforesaid order, the statement of the defendant's counsel was noted that he shall ensure that the use of all infringing goods will cease immediately. Further, the defendant was directed to file an affidavit within eight weeks. However, no such affidavit has been filed.
5. Issue notice.
6. Notice be issued to the defendant through all permissible modes, including through counsel.
7. Reply, if any, be filed within two weeks from the date of service.
8. Taking a serious view of the conduct of the defendant, and in supersession of the direction given on 3rd April, 2025 permitting the defendant to appear through video conferencing, it is hereby directed that Mr. B. Rajasekhar Reddy shall be physically present in Court on the next date.
9. List on 18th August, 2025, date already fixed.

I.A. 16065/2025 (seeking leave to file and place on record audio evidence via CD/Pen Drive)

10. This application has been filed on behalf of the plaintiff seeking permission to place on record a Pen Drive containing an audio conversation between the plaintiff's Investigator and the defendant on 09th May, 2025.
11. Mr. Roomi submits that the aforesaid conversation would have some bearing on the contempt application filed by the plaintiff against the defendant.
12. In view of the above, the plaintiff is permitted to place on record the



Pen Drive along with the transcript of the conversation.

13. The application is disposed of.

AMIT BANSAL, J

JULY 10, 2025/ds