



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 430/2022 & I.A. 9660/2022, 13229/2022**

**SILVERMAPLE HEALTHCARE SERVICES
PRIVATE LIMITED**

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Tushar Singh, Ms. Aditi Mishra
and Ms. Sugandh Virmani, Advocates.

versus

DR. TAJINDER BHATTI

..... Defendant

Through: Ms. Sneha Jain, Mr. Vivek Ayyagari
and Ms. Ramya Aggarwal, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

% **10.10.2022**

I.A. 16340/2022 (seeking condonation of delay of 6 days in filing replication, by Plaintiff)

1. This application has been preferred on behalf of the Plaintiff seeking condonation of delay of 6 days in filing replication to the written statement filed by the Defendant.
2. Learned counsel for the Plaintiff submits that though there is a delay of 6 days in filing the replication to the written statement but the delay is within the additional time of 15 days granted under Rule 5 of Chapter VII, Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018.
3. Issue notice.
4. Ms. Sneha Jain, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the Defendant and submits that she has no objection to the delay being condoned.

CS(COMM) 430/2022

page 1 of 3



5. For the reasons stated in the application and in view of the no objection as well as considering the fact that the delay is within the condonable period, the same is allowed.

6. Delay of 6 days in filing the replication is condoned.

7. Replication is taken on record.

8. Application stands disposed of.

I.A. 16341/2022 (seeking condonation of delay of 1 day in filing reply to the application under Order 39 Rule 4 CPC, by Plaintiff)

9. This application has been preferred on behalf of the Plaintiff seeking condonation of delay of 1 day in filing reply to the application under Order 39 Rule 4 CPC filed by the Defendant.

10. Issue notice.

11. Ms. Sneha Jain, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the Defendant and submits that she has no objection to the delay being condoned.

12. For the reasons stated in the application and in view of the no objection, the same is allowed.

13. Delay of 1 day in filing the reply is condoned.

14. Reply is taken on record.

15. Application stands disposed of.

I.A. 16342/2022 (seeking condonation of delay of 1 day in filing reply to the application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC, by Plaintiff)

16. This application has been preferred on behalf of the Plaintiff seeking condonation of delay of 1 day in filing reply to the application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC filed by the Defendant.

CS(COMM) 430/2022

page 2 of 3



17. Issue notice.
18. Ms. Sneha Jain, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the Defendant and submits that she has no objection to the delay being condoned.
19. For the reasons stated in the application and in view of the no objection, the same is allowed.
20. Delay of 1 day in filing the reply is condoned.
21. Reply is taken on record.
22. Application stands disposed of.
- I.A. 13233/2022(under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC, by Defendant)**
23. List for further hearing on 14.10.2022.

JYOTI SINGH, J

OCTOBER 10, 2022/shivam