



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 334/2024 & I.A. 9175/2024**
PLUTO TRAVELS INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Vivek Ranjan Tiwary, Mr. Sajan
Shankar Prasad, Ms. Radhika Pareva,
Mr. Vagish Pandey and Ms. Asavari
Mathur, Advs.

versus

PTW HOLIDAYS PRIVATE LIMITED Defendant

Through: Mr. Rishab Kapoor, Adv.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

% **27.05.2024**

1. Pursuant to order dated 10th May, 2024, counsel for the defendant states that their reply to the Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 CPC application of the plaintiff has been filed only last night. A copy of the same has been handed over. However, the same is not on record. Counsel for the plaintiff also objects to the late filing of the reply considering that they had been pressing for an injunction.
2. Reply be taken on record, subject to costs of Rs.15,000/- to be paid to counsel for the plaintiff.
3. Rejoinder be filed within the next six weeks.
4. List on 09th August, 2024.
5. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

MAY 27, 2024/MK