



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 334/2024, I.A. 43070/2024, & CRL.M.A. 23018/2025**
PLUTO TRAVELS INDIA PRIVATE LIMITEDPlaintiff
Through: Mr. Achal Shekhar, Mr. Akash Nair,
Mr. Ishant Garg & Ms. Shruhita Amit,
Advocates.

versus

PTW HOLIDAYS PRIVATE LIMITEDDefendant
Through: Mr. Rishub Kapoor, Advocate
(through VC).

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA

ORDER

% **13.11.2025**

I.A. 19172/2025

1. This is an Application under Order XXXIX Rule 2A read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 read with Sections 2(B), 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for disobedience of injunction order dated 14.05.2025.
2. *Vide* order dated 07.08.2025, Notice was issued and the learned Counsel for the Defendant / Contemnor had accepted Notice and sought time to file the Reply within a period of four weeks.
3. The learned Counsel for the Defendant / Contemnor submits that there has been a delay in filing the Reply and the advance copy of the same has been served upon the learned Counsel for the Plaintiff only today morning.
4. The learned Counsel for the Plaintiff submits that the copy of the Reply has been received only one hour back. He further submits that the Defendant

CS(COMM) 334/2024

Page 1 of 4



is still not complying with the order dated 14.05.2025 and has not taken down



the social media post containing the Mark 'PLUTO TOURS' / as directed *vide* order dated 14.05.2025 and indicated in the present Application. He has handed over certain printouts of the screenshots taken from the social media post of the Defendant / Contemnor. However, the said printouts of the screenshots do not show the date on which the screenshots are taken.

5. The learned Counsel for the Plaintiff submits that since he has received the copy of the Reply some time back, he would file an Affidavit along with the proof of non-compliance of Order dated 14.05.2025 by the Defendant / Contemnor.

6. The Registry is directed to place on record the Reply filed by the Defendant / Contemnor, subject to payment of cost of ₹25,000/- (Rupees Twenty-Five Thousand Only) in the account of Delhi High Court Staff Welfare Fund [Account No. 15530110074442; IFSC UCBA0001553] within a period of two weeks from date and the delay in filing the same is hereby condoned, subject to the payment of the said cost.

7. It is directed that the Managing Director and all the Directors / Authorized Representatives / Partners of the Defendant / Contemnor shall physically remain present in person before this Court on the next date of hearing.

8. The Plaintiff is also directed to file the Rejoinder to the Reply filed by the Defendant / Contemnor within four weeks.



CRL.M.A. 23018/2025

9. This is an Application filed by the Plaintiff under Sections 379 and 215 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and under Sections 216, 217, 227, 228, 229, 233, 236 and 237 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

10. *Vide* order dated 07.08.2025, the Notice was issued and the Defendant was directed to file the Reply to the present Application within a period of four weeks from date. The Defendant is yet to file the Reply to the present Application.

11. The learned Counsel for the Defendant submits that there has been a delay in filing the Reply and the advance copy of the same has been served upon the learned Counsel for the Plaintiff only today morning.

12. The learned Counsel for the Plaintiff submits that the copy of the reply has been received only one hour back.

13. The Registry is directed to place on record the Reply filed by the Defendant, subject to payment of cost of ₹25,000/- (Rupees Twenty-Five Thousand Only) in the account of Delhi High Court Staff Welfare Fund [Account No. 15530110074442; IFSC UCBA0001553] within a period of two weeks from date and the delay in filing the same is hereby condoned, subject to the payment of the said cost.

14. The Plaintiff is also directed to file the Rejoinder to the Reply filed by the Defendant within four weeks.

CS(COMM) 334/2024

15. The Parties were directed to exchange their proposed draft issues with each other and file the proposed Joint Issues *vide* order dated 07.08.2025.

16. The learned Counsel for the Plaintiff submits that the Plaintiff had

CS(COMM) 334/2024

Page 3 of 4



shared the proposed Issues with the Defendant. However, there was no response from the learned Counsel for the Defendant.

17. The learned Counsel for the Defendant submits that the Defendant has already filed the proposed Issues.

18. It is again directed that the Parties shall file Joint Issues before the next date of hearing and the learned Counsel for the Plaintiff and the Defendant shall ensure to file the Joint Issues after exchanging the draft Issues with each other.

19. List on 18.12.2025.

TEJAS KARIA, J

NOVEMBER 13, 2025/ 'A'