



\$~39

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 658/2024**

BALRAJ SINGH DABAS

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Setu Niket, Ms. Esha Mazumdar,
and Ms. Muskan Sharma, Advocates
(thru VC)

versus

KULDEEP KUMAR SHARMA

.....Respondent

Through: Sh. Dharmender Sharma,
Consolidation Officer (thru VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

07.05.2025

%

1. The order of which compliance is sought was passed on 12th September 2022 in WP(C) No.1415/2021, in which the following directions were passed:

5. In view of the innocuous prayer on behalf of petitioner and no objection on behalf of the respondents, petitioner is granted liberty to file an appropriate representation/application along with the copy of this order before the concerned authority within two weeks from today. On receiving the application/representation, respondent no.4 is directed to give an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and thereafter pass a detailed and reasoned order in accordance with law expeditiously i.e. preferably within six weeks.

2. Subsequent thereto, representation was made by petitioner on 16th Sept 2022, 17th November 2022, and 16th May 2023, however, no decision has been taken despite categorical orders of this Court.

3. The petitioner was forced to file the contempt petition in April 2024,



wherein notice was issued on 26th April 2024. At that time the officer in question was one *Sh. Kuldeep Kumar Sharma*, Consolidation Officer.

4. *Sh. Dharmender Sharma*, the current Consolidation Officer appears through VC and states that he has taken over recently and is attempting to figure out the reason for delay.

5. Notwithstanding, this position cannot be maintained for close to 3 years now, to implement simple directions of the Court to decide a representation.

6. Accordingly, *Sh. Dharmender Sharma*, Consolidation Officer, shall file on record a list of officers who were in office from *Sh. Kuldeep Kumar Sharma* onwards till date, as also an explanation as to what caused delay for non-compliance of court directions.

7. Compliance be made within next 4 weeks and communication to that effect be sent to the counsel for petitioner.

8. In the event compliance is not made prior to the next date, the officer shall remain physically present before the Court on the next date.

9. Notwithstanding the compliance and proceedings in contempt against the officers of respondent, this Court is inclined to award costs in favour of petitioner in this regard, which will be considered on the next date.

10. List for '*compliance*' on 17th September 2025.

11. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

MAY 7, 2025/sm/bp