



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 6866/2021 & CM. App. 21738/2021 (Stay)

PAWAN KUMAR JINDAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.N.C.Gupta, Adv

Versus

PUNJAB NATIONAL BANK & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Anant Gautam, Mr.Nipun Sharma,
Mr.Sachin Singh & Mr.Vidur Ahluwalia, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

ORDER

27.05.2022

%

1. A request for adjournment is made on behalf of the respondent on account of the learned counsel for the respondent being in some personal difficulty.
2. The said request is vehemently opposed by the learned counsel for the petitioner who submits that the petitioner is reaching the age of superannuation on 31.05.2022 and therefore, prays that either the writ petition wherein, he has assailed the impugned order rejecting his appeal against the removal order dated 19.03.2020, be taken for disposal today itself or the impugned order be stayed till the next date.
3. In my considered opinion, the petitioner's prayer for stay of the operation of the impugned order cannot be acceded to as the same would amount to allowing the writ petition at the interim stage itself.



Even otherwise, if the petitioner succeeds in the writ petition, his interest can be protected by the Court considering granting him consequential benefits as may be deemed appropriate in the facts of the case. The application for interim relief is accordingly dismissed.

4. At request of learned counsel for the respondent, list on 30.08.2022.

MAY 27, 2022/kk

REKHA PALLI, J