



\$~38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 648/2024**

SHRI SHIVAM VERMAPetitioner

Through: Counsel appearance not given.

versus

SMT. RADHIKA VERMARespondent

Through: Ms. Aayushi Rawat, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

% **16.10.2025**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent seeks an adjournment on the ground that the arguing counsel is unavailable today.
3. *Vide* order dated 08.09.2025, this Court had passed the following directions:-

“2. Learned counsel for the respondent seeks some time to take appropriate instructions with regard to the amount received by the respondent. Let the same be done before the next date of hearing. Let an affidavit be filed by the respondent in response to the present petition.”

4. Nothing has been filed on record.
5. In view of the above, list for compliance of the aforesaid order on 06.02.2026.



6. Respondent, *i.e.*, Ms. Radhika Verma, is directed to remain present in Court on the next date of hearing.

AMIT SHARMA, J

OCTOBER 16, 2025/sn