



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5298/1999**

GURBUX SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.K.B.Upadhyay, Advocate for
Rajesh Kr.Dheer, Constituted
Attorney of deceased petitioner

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: None

CORAM:

SH. SANJAY KUMAR SHARMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

07.03.2023

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through physical/hybrid mode.

CM APPL. 4117/2020 & CM APPL. 4118/2020

Neither there is any appearance on behalf of the respondents nor has reply to these applications has been filed on behalf of respondent no.1. Therefore, right of respondent no.1 to file the reply is hereby closed.

Although Ms. Jyoti Tyagi, Advocate has appeared for respondent nos.2 to 5, but she has filed reply only on behalf of respondent nos. 2, 4 & 5 and not for respondent no.3.

Further, a perusal of the record shows that no steps have been taken by the petitioner/applicant to serve respondent no.3. In this view of the matter, on petitioner/ applicants taking steps within two weeks, let notice of the applications be issued to respondent no.3 by ordinary process, speed post and courier, returnable on 06.07.2023. *Dasti* as well. It be indicated in the notice



that reply, if any, be filed before the next date.

A perusal of the record further shows that on 09.03.2022, Mr.V.K.Singh, Advocate was directed to check up about the status of CM APPL.1403/2000 which was filed under Order VI Rule 17 and under Order I Rule 10 CPC.

Counsel for the Constituted Attorney of deceased petitioner states that he has not received reply filed on behalf of respondent nos. 2, 4 & 5. Let a copy thereof be supplied to counsel for Constituted Attorney of deceased petitioner within a week, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, within four weeks thereafter.

REGISTRAR

MARCH 7, 2023/sk