



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5298/1999**

GURBUX SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Sh. V.K. Singh, Advocate for
applicant/ proposed LR/Mr.Steve
Verma
Mr.S.D. Mishra, Advocate for Rajesh
Kr.Dheer, applicant/ proposed LR

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Jyoti Tyagi and Ms.Manisha,
proxy counsels for Mr.Yeeshu Jain,
Standing Counsel for R-2 to 5

CORAM:

SH. SANJAY KUMAR SHARMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

21.11.2022

%

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through physical/hybrid mode.

CM APPL.49926/2022

Ms.Jyoti Tyagi, Advocate for respondent nos. 2 to 5 states that although the application was filed sometime in year 2020 but fact remains that counsel for respondent nos. 2 to 5 had put in appearance for the first time on 19.09.2022 and they came to know about the pendency of the application on the said date when one week's time was granted to them to file reply. Since the application involves some complicated issues, therefore, it took some time to file reply to the application.



For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed. Reply filed on behalf of respondent nos. 2 to 5 be taken on record.

Application stands disposed of.

CM APPLs.4117/2020 and 4118/2020

Neither there is any appearance on behalf of respondent no.1 nor reply has been filed. In the interest of justice, last and final opportunity is granted to respondent no.1 to file reply, if any, within four weeks failing which, application shall be considered on the basis of pleadings already filed. Advance copy be supplied to counsel for the applicants, who may file rejoinder to the replies filed by respondents/ non-applicants, if any, before the next date.

Counsel for the applicant namely Steve Verma states that he has filed reply to the applications but the same is not on record. Let him check up with the Registry and get the same placed on record.

List on 07.03.2023.

REGISTRAR

NOVEMBER 21, 2022/sk