



\$~29 to 34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2398/2019 & CRL.M.A. 9511/2019**

MANISH GOYALPetitioner

Through: Mr. Kunal Kher, Advocate.

versus

S P REALTORSRespondent

Through: Mr. Ashok Kumar, Goyal and Ms.
Perna Goyal, Advocates.

+ **CRL.M.C. 5769/2019 & CRL.M.A. 40220/2019**

MANISH GOYALPetitioner

Through: Mr. Kunal Kher, Advocate.

versus

HARLEEN KAUR SACHDEVA & ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Ashok Kumar, Goyal and Ms.
Perna Goyal, Advocates.

+ **CRL.M.C. 621/2020 & CRL.M.A. 2574/2020**

MANISH GOYALPetitioner

Through: Mr. Kunal Kher, Advocate.

versus

GAGAN PREET SINGH & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Ashok Kumar, Goyal and Ms.
Perna Goyal, Advocates.

+ **CRL.M.C. 644/2020 & CRL.M.A. 2689/2020**

MANISH GOYALPetitioner

Through: Mr. Kunal Kher, Advocate.



versus

S.P. REALTORS

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Ashok Kumar, Goyal and Ms.
Perna Goyal, Advocates.

+ **CRL.M.C. 668/2020 & CRL.M.A. 2766/2020**

MANISH GOYAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kunal Kher, Advocate.

versus

HARLEEN KAUR SACHDEVA & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ashok Kumar, Goyal and Ms.
Perna Goyal, Advocates.

+ **CRL.M.C. 669/2020 & CRL.M.A. 2768/2020**

MANISH GOYAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kunal Kher, Advocate.

versus

GAGAN PREET SINGH & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ashok Kumar, Goyal and Ms.
Perna Goyal, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

%

15.07.2025

1. The present case pertains to a complaint filed by the Respondents against a company, Piyush Colonizers Ltd., for dishonour of cheques. In the said complaint, the Petitioner was implicated under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881¹, in the capacity of a director of the

¹ "NI Act"



accused-company. The Petitioner, contends that he was appointed only as an “independent director” and therefore, had no involvement with the concerned transaction.

2. In order to demonstrate whether the appointment of the Petitioner was in the capacity of an “independent director”, as defined under Section 2(47) of the Companies Act, 2013, counsel for the Petitioner states that he shall produce the copy of the board resolution, the appointment letter and other such documents prescribed under the Companies Act, 2013 that were filed with the MCA, to authenticate that the Petitioner’s appointment was indeed as an independent director.

3. Renotify on 25th September, 2025.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

JULY 15, 2025

as