



\$~77

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 4767/2025 & CM APPL. 21965/2025**

GULSHAN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abhay Kumar Bhargava,
Mr. Satyaarth Sinha and Ms. Khushi, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Suruchi Mittal, Sr. PC with
Ms. Kanishk, GP for UOI with AC Ajay Pal,
Law Officer (CRPF)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

% **16.04.2025**

1. We have heard Mr. Abhay Kumar Bhargava, learned Counsel for the petitioner and Ms. Suruchi Mittal, learned Senior Panel Counsel for the respondents for some time.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that he has been allotted a posting station other than the stations which were opted by him while exercising his option for posting.

3. Ms. Mittal submits on instructions from Mr. Ajay Pal, Law Officer for the respondents that the vacancies in all 24 stations for which options have been submitted by the petitioner stand exhausted. She submits that the respondents have proceeded strictly in accordance with the sliding scale of entitlement for postings as to be



seen at page 32-33 of the paper book.

4. She also points out that it is for this reason that the petitioner has, in his prayer clause, included a prayer for being allowed to exercise fresh choices of posting.

5. The prayer for being allowed to exercise fresh choices of posting, in our view, cannot be granted at all.

6. However, as Mr. Bhargava disputes the contention of Ms. Mittal that all vacancies at the choices of posting given by his client stand exhausted, we direct Ms. Mittal to place the details in that regard on record by way of an affidavit within a period of two weeks with an advance copy to Mr. Bhargava, who may file response thereto, if any, within one week.

7. We make it clear that we have not passed any interim order in this case.

8. Re-notify on 13 May 2025.

C.HARI SHANKAR, J.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J.

APRIL 16, 2025/aky

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)